

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/650,486 | 08/28/2003 | Neil J. Goldfine | 1884.2006-006 | 6143 |
| 21005 7 | 590 12/27/2005 | EXAMINER | | |
| HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C. 530 VIRGINIA ROAD P.O. BOX 9133 | | | PATIDAR, JAY M | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| CONCORD, N | A 01742-9133 | | 2862 | |

DATE MAILED: 12/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | V | | | |
|---|--|--|--|------|--|--|--|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | 10/650,486 | GOLDFINE ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | _ | | | |
| | | Jay M. Patidar | 2862 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communicati | on appears on the cover sheet | with the correspondence address | | | | |
| | | | MONITURO OR TURBEY (OO) DAYO | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILINGS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may tion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo y statute, cause the application to become | IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed or | n 14 October 2005. | | | | | |
| ,— | • | ☐ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice u | nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C | .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) | Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the appli | cation. | | | | | |
| • | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w | | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 10-14</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| - | Claim(s) <u>8 and 9</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restriction | and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)□ | The specification is objected to by the Ex | kaminer. | | | | | |
| | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) | | o by the Examiner. | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the | correction is required if the drawi | ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1210 | (d). | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by | the Examiner. Note the attach | ed Office Action or form P1O-152. | | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | · | | | | | |
| 12) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for | foreign priority under 35 U.S.C | . § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority doc | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority doc | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the | | en received in this National Stage | | | | |
| | application from the International | | et repaired | | | | |
| * ; | See the attached detailed Office action for | r a list of the certified copies h | ot received. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachmer 1) Noti | nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) \Box Intervie | w Summary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| _ = | 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| 3) Info | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC | | of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| | er No(s)/Mail Date Trademark Office | ٠, 🗀 ٥٠٠٠ | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/650,486 Page 2

Art Unit: 2862

1. This communication is in response to applicants amendment filed on October 14, 2005.

- 2. The title of the invention is still not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. Suggested title: "A method for inspecting a channel using a flexible eddy current sensing device".
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bender (2,622,125).

As to claims 1, Bender discloses a method for inspecting a channel or pipe 10 using flexible surface sensor 12 with a pressurizable chamber 13 for maintaining the sensor position proximate to a component surface (figs. 1-2) wherein a deflated chamber is inserted into an opening; chamber is inflated and

Art Unit: 2862

the sensor response is measured as the sensor is moved along the channel (e.g. col. 3, lines 4-18).

As to claims 2-3, the sensors in Bender are eddy current sensors.

As to claim 4, the sensor 12 is moved out of the second opening (col. 3, lines 4-18).

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-7,10-14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bender.

As to claims 5,11-13, Bender discloses a method for inspecting a channel as explained above. Bender does not explicitly disclose the steps of inflating, deflating and moving of the sensor to desired positions. One ordinary skill in the art would move the sensor of Bender with an inflated pressurizable chamber along the inside of the channel and would deflate the chamber when withdrawing from the chamber or any way as desired. Bender fails to show two steps scans. It

Application/Control Number: 10/650,486

Art Unit: 2862

would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to scan the pipe in the first direction and then in opposite direction instead of just one step scanning as disclosed in Bender since it would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art to follow two ways scanning since this would ensure proper inspection of the pipe by overlapping or repeating the scans.

Page 4

As to claims 6-7,10,14, one ordinary skill in the art would scan the object by moving the sensing device to any desired position (col. 3, line 58) e.g. full or half or one third of the total distance as desired and collect/process data from the eddy current sensor for inspecting that much length of an inner surface of the object.

- 5. Claims 8-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art fails to show combining measurement responses from the first and second scans in opposite directions.

Art Unit: 2862

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to rejected claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jay M. Patidar whose telephone number is 571-272-2265. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 8:00-6:30.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vay M. Patidar Primary Examiner Art Unit 2862

Email: Jay.Patidar@USPTO.gov

December 20, 2005